Legislative Fiscal Analyst:

Agency Response Form

Version 2009 2.1

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill

SB 48

Date January 29, 2009

	Short	Title	Teacher	Licensing	r bv	Compentency	Amend	lments
--	-------	-------	---------	-----------	------	-------------	-------	--------

ContactEmily EyreTitleResearch ConsultantAgency: State Office of EducationPhone538-7671, cell: 635-9666

Short Form

Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals.

If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice.

Attachments welcome.

State agencies wil	l not require an	appropriation	to implement	the bill
--------------------	------------------	---------------	--------------	----------

- x There is no fiscal impact on local governments.
- There is no fiscal impact on businesses
- x There is no fiscal impact on individuals.
- x The bill will not affect revenues.

Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

A. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 71-74 directs the State Board of Education to make rules "that specifies standards and procedures for determing if a person who applies for a competency-based license to teach a subject other than a core academic subject has the skills, talents, or abilities suited

B. Which program gets the appropriation?

r .									α		- 1	
ΙД	n	n	rι	71	า	П	n	it		റ	а	Δ

(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is _____ of ____

C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

There is the potential that more educators will apply for a competency-based license due to the revisions in this bill. This will increase the workload of several USOE staff members. Evaluating applications will require the expertise of Specialists in all curriculum areas. There will also be increased overhead to administer the program.

It is estimated that there would need to be a 0.5 FTE allocation within existing personnel for an office specialist to support the functions identified in the bill. This person would be the single point of contact for educators, they would manage the paperwork and coordinate between the Specialists.

The cost of 0.5 FTE for an office specialist hired at \$10.70 per hour and with family benefits coverage is \$25,500.

Fiscal Impact Tables	Current Budget Year FY 2009	Coming Budget Year FY 2010	Future Budget Year FY 2011					
D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)								
Total E. Show Costs to Implement the	\$0 Bill by Fund (Select fund	\$0	\$0					
2. Show costs to implement the	Bill by I tille (ocice) tille	s nom drop-down menu.)						
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0					
F. Show Costs to Implement the	Bill by Expense Catego	ry.						
Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru Total	\$0	\$0	\$0					
G. How will the bill impact	local governments?							
Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments.								
Attachments welcome.								
H. How will the bill impact	businesses?							
Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.								
Attachments welcome.								
I. How will the bill impact in	ndividuals?							
Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.								
Attachments welcome.								
This is a draft fiscal note response from	the Utah State Office of Educ	cation (USOE) and may be re	evised in the future.					